## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Anthony Troy Wilson-Lackey  Defendant	Case No. 1:15-mj-00221			
	Ifter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in:			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the commi	lefendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	or local offense.  A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	offense described in finding (1).  Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
	Alternative Finding	·			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com-	- · ·			
( /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is				
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	·*			
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established be will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa				
	Alternative Finding				
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	30 (D)			
<b>√</b> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	ons for Detention			
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing			
<ol> <li>Defendant has a history of substance abuse.</li> <li>Defendant has engaged in criminal activity while under supervision.</li> </ol>					
3. Defendant has a history of failures to appear.					
4. Defendant has previously had probation violations and revocations.					
5. Defendant has used illegal substances. 6. Defendant has a criminal history					
6. Defendant has a criminal history.					

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 23, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge